

Appl. No. 10/523,155
Amdt. dated Nov 20, 2006
Reply to Office action of Aug. 25, 2006

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheets of drawings include changes to Fig.'s 1-3. These sheets, which include Fig.'s 1-3, replace the original sheets including Fig.'s 1-3. In Figures 1-3, a previously omitted label as "Prior Art" has been added.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets
Annotated Sheets Showing Changes

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the present application as amended is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-10 remain in this application.

In the Office action, the Examiner indicated that Claims 1-10 contain allowable subject matter and would be allowable if amended to overcome certain objections and a rejection of Claims 6-10 under §112, as set forth in the Office action. Applicant gratefully acknowledges this indication of allowable subject matter and has amended Claims 1-10 accordingly.

In the Office action the Examiner objected to Figures 1-3 as not being labeled as prior art. In response, Figures 1-3 have been amended to add the requested label.

In the Office action, the Examiner objected to the specification in that there are no section headings. Applicant respectfully declines to provide such headings, as they are not required. Please see MPEP §608.01(a).

The Examiner called Applicant's attention to one unusual usage on page 2 and further characterized the specification as replete with grammatical and typographical

errors. Applicant respectfully submits that the specification has been translated into English in a form that is readable and clearly sets forth Applicant's invention. In response, Applicant has, however, without adding new matter, submitted a Substitute Specification, with revisions as shown on the attached copy with changes marked. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of this objection.

In the Office action Claim 1 was objected to for informality in that the reference number "1s" for the electric lamp should appear in parenthesis. In response and in order to put the Claims in better U.S. form, Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9 and 10 have been amended by removing the reference numerals and, in Claims 1-10, by changing "characterized in that" to "wherein."

Claim 6 was objected to for informality in the use of pronouns. In response, appropriate correction has been made.

Claims 6-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Claim 6 has been amended in a manner which is believed to overcome the rejection. The rejection of Claims 7-10, which depend from Claim 6, does not cite any

Appl. No. 10/523,155
Amdt. dated Nov 20, 2006
Reply to Office action of Aug. 25, 2006

different or additional grounds for rejection.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of Claim 6-10 is respectfully requested.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 1-10 as amended are patentable and that the present application is in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

If any informalities remain, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned in order to expedite allowance.

Please charge any fee deficiencies and credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

By Frank Keegan 11/20/06
Frank Keegan, Reg. 50,145
Attorney
(914) 333-9669
November 20, 2006